1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990 United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909 Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7124 FAX: (415) 436-7169  Attorneys for Respondents		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	HUI YU,	)	
12	Petitioner,	) No. C 07-2749 WHA	
13	V.	) )	
14	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of	) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT ) STATEMENT and [Proposed] ORDER	
15	Department of Homeland Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of the	)	
16	U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;		
17		) )	
18	ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, Director of Federal Bureau of Investigations,	)	
19	Respondents.	)	
20		)	
21	1. Jurisdiction and Service:		
22	The basis asserted by petitioner for this Court's jurisdiction is 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. §		
23	1361 and 5 U.S.C. § 701. The parties do not dispute that venue is proper in this district. No issues		
24	exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue, and no parties remain to be served.		
25	2. Facts:		
26	The petitioner filed a Form I-485 application to adjust her status to lawful permanent resident		
27	with the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) on or about October 18,		
28	2004. The USCIS has not yet adjudicated the Form I-485 application. The petitioner filed an		
	Joint Case Management Conference Statement C07-2749 WHA		

action on May 25, 2007, seeking an order from this Court directing USCIS to adjudicate her Form I-485 application.

## 3. Legal Issues:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Whether this Court should dismiss the petitioner's action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. If this Court has jurisdiction, whether the USCIS is processing the petitioner's I-485 application within a reasonable period of time.

#### 4. Motions:

The parties intend to file cross-motions for summary judgment.

## 5. Amendment of Pleadings:

No parties, claims or defenses are expected to be added or dismissed.

#### 6. Evidence Preservation:

The parties do not have any evidence that falls within this category.

#### 7. Disclosures:

The parties believe that the initial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 do not apply to this case.

#### 8. Discovery:

The parties do not intend to take any discovery at this time.

#### 9. Class Actions:

N/A

#### 10. Related Cases:

The parties are not aware of any related case or cases.

#### 11. Relief:

The petitioner asks this Court to direct USCIS to adjudicate her Form I-485 application.

## 12. Settlement and ADR:

An ADR Phone Conference is scheduled for August 28, 2007.

## 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes:

The parties declined assignment of this case to a magistrate judge.

28

#### 14. Other References:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**12** 

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The parties do not believe that this case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

# 15. Narrowing of Issues:

The parties do not believe that the issues can be narrowed by agreement or by motion, and do not have suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g. through summaries or stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims or defenses.

# 16. Expedited Schedule:

The parties believe this case can be resolved on cross-motions for summary judgment.

## 17. Scheduling:

The parties propose the following schedule on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment:

Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment: September 20, 2007

Cross-Oppositions: October 4, 2007

Hearing: October 25, 2007, 8:00 a.m.

## 18. Trial:

The parties do not anticipate the need for a trial in this case.

## 19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons:

The parties' intend to file the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16.

# 20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter.

None.

24 ///

25 ///

**26** ///

**27** ///

28 ///

Ī	Case 3:07-cv-02749-WHA Documen	t 13 Filed 08/24/2007 Page 4 of 4
1	Dated: August 23, 2007	/s/
2		Ila C. Deiss Assistant United States Attorney
3		Attorney for Respondents
4		
5	Dated: August 23, 2007	Hui Yu
6		Pro Se
7	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER	
8	The Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order are hereby adopted by the Court as	
9	the Case Management Order for the case, and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.	
10		
11	Date:	WILLIAM ALCUD
12		WILLIAM ALSUP United States District Judge
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Joint Case Management Conference Statement C07-2749 WHA	4